

1904-006
Nansemond Co (Suffolk)

Chancery Causes: John B. Norfleet, gdn vs Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Co et al

Brothers, American Fertilizing Co], Parker

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

*Mutual Reserve
Life Insurance Company, a Corporation,
successor to Mutual Reserve Fund
Life Association, a Corporation
American Fertilizing Company, a Cor-
poration, S Kate Brothens an adult;
and S Kate Brothens and Ethel P
Brothens, infants under the age of
twenty-one years*

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held
for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them*

in the said Court by

*John B Norfleet- guardian
of Ethel P Brothens and S Kate Brothens*

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of

January, 1904, in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

E. E. Holland } p. q.
J. M. Hughes }

A copy for
American Fertilizing
Company —
vs. In Chancery.

1904
January Rules.
Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court.

Process Book No. 21

Page 250

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a Corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, a Corporation American Fertilizing Company, a Corporation S Kate Boothe, an adult, and S Kate Boothe and Ethel P Boothe, infants under the age of twenty-one years

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them* in the said Court by *John B Norfleet-guardian of Ethel P Boothe and S Kate Boothe*

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of

January, 1904, in the 12*8*th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

E. E. Holland } p. q.
J. R. Bueyes }

A Copy for
Mutual Reserve
Fund Life
Association vs. In Chancery

1904

January Rules.
Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court

Process Book No. 2

Page 250

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON *Mutual Reserve*
Life Insurance Company, a corporation,
successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life
Association, a corporation, American
Fertilizing Company, a corporation, &
Kate Brothers an adult and & Kate
Brothers and Ethel P Brothers, infants
under the age of twenty-one years

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held
 for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them*
 in the said Court by *John B Norfleet, guardian of*
Ethel P Brothers and & Kate Brothers

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of
January, 1904, in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

, CLERK.

, CLERK.

E. E. Holland } p. q.
J. C. Bueyes }
John B. Norfleet
Guardian &c

vs.

In Chancery.

Mutual Reserve Life
Insurance Co. &c

1904

January Rules.

Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court

Process Book No. 2

Page 250

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, a Corporation, American Fertilizing Company a Corporation, S Kate Brothers an adult and S Kate Brothers and Ethel P Brothers infants under the age of twenty-one years.

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them* in the said Court by *John B Norfleet guardian of Ethel P Brothers and S Kate Brothers*

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of *January*, 1904, in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

Attest: Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

*

*E E Holland } p. q.
J W Burges }*

*A Copy for
Mutual Reserve Life
Insurance Company
vs. In Chancery.
Successor &c*

1904

January Rules.

Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court

Process Book No. 2

Page 250

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a corporation successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, a corporation, American Fertilizing Company, a corporation, S Kate Brothers an adult and S Kate Brothers and Ethel P Brothers infants under the age of twenty-one years.

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them*

in the said Court by

John B Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P Brothers and S Kate Brothers

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of

January, 1904, in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

E. E. Holland } p. q.
J. W. Ringes }
A copy for
Ethel P. Brothier

vs.

In Chancery.

An Infant

1904

January Rules.

Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court.

Process Book No. *21*

Page *250*

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a Corporation successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, a Corporation, American Fertilizing Company, a Corporation, S Kate Brothers, an adult and S Kate Brothers and Ethel P Brothers, infants under the age of twenty-one years

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them* in the said Court by *John B Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P Brothers and S Kate Brothers*

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of *January*, 1904, in the 12⁸th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

E. E. Holland }
J. W. Hughes } p. q.

A copy for
S. R. Bates

vs.

In Chancery.

An Infant-

1904

January Rules.

Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court

Process Book No. 2

Page 250.

CHANCERY SUMMONS.

The Commonwealth of Virginia,

TO THE SHERIFF OF NANSEMOND COUNTY—GREETING:

YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED TO SUMMON

Mutual Reserve
Life Insurance Company, a Corporation
successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life
Association, a Corporation, American
Fertilizing Company, a Corporation,
S Kate Brothers an adult, and S Kate
Brothers and Ethel P Brothers, infants
under the age of twenty-one years

to appear at the Clerk's Office of the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, at the Rules to be held
 for the said Court, on the *Third* Monday in *January 1904*, to answer

a Bill in Chancery, exhibited against *them*
 in the said Court by *John B Norfleet guardian*
of Ethel P Brothers and S Kate Brothers

and have then and there this summons.

Witness, A. P. GOMER, Clerk of our said Court, at his office, this *16th* day of
January, 1904, in the 128th year of the Commonwealth.

A copy—Teste:

Teste:

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

A. P. Gomer, CLERK.

Due, legal and sufficient service accepted

E. E. Holland }
J. W. Burges } p. q.
A copy, for
S. Kate Brothers

vs.

In Chancery.

an auct

1904

January Rules.

Third Monday.

Nansemond Circuit Court

Process Book No. 2

Page 250

Circuit Court.

Tuesday after the Second Monday in
April and October.

HON. ROBERT R. PRENTIS, Judge.
AZRA P. GOMER, Clerk.

County Court.

Second Monday in each month.

HON. WILBUR J. KILBY, Judge.
ROBERT R. SMITH, Clerk.
E. E. HOLLAND, Commonwealth's Attorney.
ALEX. H. BAKER, Sheriff.
SYDNEY T. ELLIS, County Treasurer.
JOHN B. PINNER, Commissioner of Accounts.

Hamsemond County Clerks' Office.

Suffolk, Va., ... 190.....

In the Circuit Court of Hamsemond County -
2nd January Rules, 1904 -

John B. Hoyle, Guardian of Ethel P. Brothers &
S. Kate Brothers.

vs - In Chancery.

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, (a corporation),
successors to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association & others

In notice of the complainant by
counsel J. A. Lee a competent and
discreet attorney at law is assigned guardian
ad litem for the infant defendants -

A. P. Gomer clerk

Guardian ad
litern apphe

//////

TO THE CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ANNEHOMD COUNTY.

2nd. January Rules, 1904.

John B. Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P. Brothers
and S. Kate Brothers,.....Plaintiff.
vs. In Chancery.

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a
corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund
Life Association, a corporation, American
Fertilizing Company, a corporation, S. Kate
Brothers, an adult, and S. Kate Brothers and
Ethel P. Brothers, infants under the age of
twenty-one years,.....Defendants.

The Clerk will appoint J. P. Lee, Esq., attorney at
law, guardian ad litem for the infant defendants and
issue process against adult defendants to second January
Rules, 1904.

Pay \$1,50

E. E. Hancock

J. H. Rogers

1904

Robert F. Gordon
B. Mendocino Co. Cal.
Malheur Reservation
Oregon

B R I E F.

Dec. 18, 1903.

Preston Brothers, Portsmouth, Va.

D.C. 17650--Policy No. 13824--Amount \$5,000

Policy No. 13825--Amount \$5,000

App'n July 6/83--Issued July 14/83--Died Oct. 25/03

Insured 20--3--11--Cause: Chronic malaria.

-----oOo-----

Policy No. 13824--Face-----	\$5,000.00
Age deduction-----	\$185.19
Reserve Lien--\$1,218.05-Revised-----	1273.90
Bal. Yr's Prens. \$67.85-less Cr. \$4.42-----	63.43
	\$1,522.52
Net-----	\$3,477.48

Policy No. 13825--Face-----	\$5,000.00
Age deduction-----	\$185.19
Reserve Lien--\$1,218.05-Revised-----	1273.90
Bal. Yr's Prens. \$67.85-Less Credit-\$1.73-----	66.12
	\$1,525.21
Net-----	\$3,474.79

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY:

JOHN B. NORFLEET, Guardian of Ethel P. Brothers,
(now Ethel P. Parker) and S. Kate Brothers, ... PLAINTIFF

v. In Chancery.

MUTUAL RESERVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a corpor-
ation, successors to Mutual Reserve Fund Life As-
sociation, AMERICAN FERTILIZING COMPANY, a corpor-
ation, S. KATE BROTHERS, an adult, and S. KATE
BROTHERS and ETHEL P. BROTHERS (now Ethel P.
Parker) infants under the age of 21 years DEFENDANTS.

This cause came on this day to be heard on the bill of complaint
filed by the Plaintiff, the answers of John P. Lee, a competent and dis-
creet Attorney at Law, duly assigned at rules as Guardian ad Litem for
Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, infant defendants, the answers
of the infant defendants Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers by said
John P. Lee, their Guardian ad Litem, the answers of A. F. Parker, who,
since the institution of this suit, has married the said Ethel P. Brothers,
an infant, the answers of The Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company and
the American Fertilizing Company and of S. Kate Brothers, all the adult
defendants, with replications thereto, and was argued by counsel.

On consideration whereof the court doth ratify and approve the
compromise of the claim on policies numbers 13824 and 13825 of the Mutual
Reserve Life Insurance Company referred to in the complainant's bill made
between the Plaintiff and the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, and
doth adjudge, order and decree that upon the payment ^{by} the Mutual Reserve
Life Insurance Company to the Plaintiff/ John B. Norfleet, Guardian of
Ethel P. Parker, lately Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, and to
S. Kate Brothers, an adult, the sum of twenty-one hundred and twenty-five
dollars (\$21²⁵00), that the said John B. Norfleet, Guardian of Ethel
P. Parker lately Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, be, and is here-
by authorized to acknowledge the receipt of said sum of money in full pay-
ment of said policies as aforesaid, and to unite with the American Fertiliz-
ing Company and S. Kate Brothers, an adult, in a release to said Insurance
Company, and that the said Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company thereupon
be discharged from all other and further claim growing out of said poli-

cies referred to in the Complainant's bill. And the said court doth further adjudge, order and decree that the Plaintiff in this suit shall be authorized to pay to E. E. Holland and J. U. Burges, his counsel, the sum of *Eighty* dollars (\$80-) as a fee for their services in the settlement of this suit. And there being nothing further to be done in this suit, it is ordered that the same shall be stricken from the docket.

Entered Mar. 16, 1904
Robert R. Hunt

Entered in Chancery
Order Book No. 3.
Page 569.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY;

JOHN B. NORFLEET, Guardian of Ethel P. Parker, late Ethel P. Brothers
and S. Kate Brothers,

v.

MUTUAL RESERVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Corporation, successors to
Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association and others.

The answer of Allie F. Parker to the petition of John B. Norfleet, Guardian, etc.; filed in the Circuit Court of Nansemond County against Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company and others.

For answer to said bill this respondent answers and says that he is over the age of twenty-one years and has recently married Ethel P. Brothers, one of the wards of the said John B. Norfleet, Guardian; that he is familiar with the facts stated in the petition and that he is convinced that it is to the best interests of his wife that the said John B. Norfleet, Guardian, shall be authorized to compromise with the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company the claim referred to in said petition for the amount stated therein.

This respondent, therefore, unites in the prayer of the petitioner that he be allowed to compromise said claim.

And now having fully answered this respondent prays to be hence dismissed with his reasonable costs, etc.

Allie F. Parker

Nordfleet
21
Mutual Reserve
Answer of
Allie F. Parker

1904 Mar 8th Filed

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HANCOCK COUNTY.

JOHN H. NORFLEET, guardian of Daniel P.

Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, ...Plaintiffs.

vs. In Case of:

National Reserve Life Insurance Company,

a corporation, successor to Mutual

Horace Fund Life Association, a corporation,

and others, ...Defendants.

The answer of the American Fertilizing Company to a bill in equity exhibited against it and others in the Circuit Court of Hancock County by John H. Norfleet, guardian of Daniel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers,

This defendant do answer to said bill under oath and say that it is true that policy No. 13825 was assigned to *it* by said Frossen Brothers during his life time to secure a certain indebtedness which now amounts to Thirty-four Hundred and Twenty-three and 1/2 Dollars (\$3423.05); that it is advised and believes that it is to its best interest to accept the *✓* assignment of National Reserve Life Insurance Company to pay the sum of Twenty-one Hundred and Fifty Dollars in full settlement of the said policy as well as to itself, and that it is ready and willing to pay the sum of \$2150.00 to release said Company from all further liability on or under said policy and also to accept said sum in full settlement of all indebtedness which it now holds against the said Frossen Brothers or his estate.

American Fertilizing Co.,
O. A. Nash Pres't.

Wm. C. F. F. F.
To. answer of Am. Pub. Co.
Melrose Reserve. 1872
Reserve. 1872.



IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF HANCOCK COUNTY.

John B. Norcross, guardian of Ethel P.
Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, ... Plaintiffs.
vs. In Chancery.
American Fertilizing Company, a cor-
poration, and others, ... Defendants.

The answer of S. Kate Brothers to a bill in
equity exhibited against her and others in the Circuit
Court of Hancock County, by John B. Norcross, guardian
of Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers.

For answer to said bill said defendant answers
and says that she is advised and believed that it is
to her interest and the best interest of her children
to accept the sum of Twenty-one Hundred and Twenty-five
Dollars in full settlement of policy No. 13824 on the
life of her late husband, Preston Brothers, issued by
United Reserve Fund Life Association as set forth in the
bill of the complainant.

S. Kate Brothers.

108 Oct 2nd 1891
B. — Dec 2nd of S.R.B.
Malacca Reservoir S.R.
Dec 2nd of S.R.B.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF NANSEMOND COUNTY.

John B. Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P. Brothers,
and S. Kate Brothers, ...Plaintiff.
vs. In Chancery.

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a
corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life
Association, a corporation, and others, ...Defendants.

The answer of Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company,
a corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life
Association, a corporation, to a bill in equity exhibited
against it and others in the Circuit Court of Nansemond
County by John B. Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P. Brothers,
and S. Kate Brothers.

This defendant for answer to the said bill answers
and says that it is ready and willing to compromise the
claim on policies Nos. 13824 and 13825 on the life of Preston
Brothers, deceased, referred to in the complainants bill
for the sums of Twenty-one Hundred and Twenty-five Dollars
(\$2125.00) and Twenty -one Hundred Dollars (2100.00) re-
spectively, provided such compromise be ratified and ap-
proved by the Circuit Court of Nansemond County, all
parties in interest being before said Court by proper
process, and that an order be entered which shall be
binding on all parties in interest.

And now having fully answered this defendant prays
hence to be dismissed with its costs, etc.

MUTUAL RESERVE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

Leo D. Elliffe
Vice President

Attest
Hester M. Camp

Secy
Wm. W. O. W. H. H.
attys.

answer of Mutual Life
Insurance Co.



1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

1880

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF HANSTWOLD COUNTY.


John B. Norfleet, guardian of Ethel P. Brothers
and S. Kate Brothers,.....Plaintiff.

vs. In Chancery.

Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company, a
corporation, successor to Mutual Reserve Fund
Life Association, a corporation, and others....Defendants.

The answer of J. P. Lee, attorney at law, guardian
ad litem for the ^{infant} defendants, Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate
Brothers, to the bill in equity exhibited against them
and others by John B. Norfleet, guardian.

For answer to the said bill the said infant defend-
ants, by their guardian ad litem, J. P. Lee, answer and
say that being infants of tender years that they do not
know what their true interests are in relation to the
subject matter of the said bill, nor do they know whether
the statements therein contained are true or not. They
confide the protection of their interests to the Court.
And the said J. P. Lee, guardian ad litem, to the said
infant defendants for answer to the said bill answers and
says that ~~that~~ ^{he} conferred with counsel for plaintiff
and that from their statement to him of the evidence and
from ~~an~~ examination of a copy of the original application,
the proofs of death and the policy, he is satisfied that
there is ~~a~~ ^{no} doubt as to the liability of the Company.
And now having fully answered the said bill they pray that
no order or decree may be made in this case inconsistent
with their interests and rights.


Guardian ad litem to the
infant defendants, Ethel
P. and S. Kate Brothers.

Office Secordian

[illegible]

Release of
Mileat Reservoir of Casenau
Cassanau

TO THE HONORABLE ROBERT R. PRINCE, JUDGE OF THE CIRCUIT
COURT OF HANCOCK COUNTY.

Your complainant, John P. Norfleet, guardian of
Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers, of Hancock Coun-
ty, humbly complaining sheweth unto the Court that on the
24th day of November, 1903, he qualified as guardian of
Ethel P. Brothers and S. Kate Brothers in the County Court ^{of Hancock County}
under a bond of Eight Thousand Dollars (\$8000.00) with
Walter V. Ballard and Robert J. Norfleet as sureties, which
said bond was duly approved by said Court; that the Mutual
Reserve Fund Life Association issued on the 14th day of
July, 1893, to Preston Brothers, upon one application, two
certain policies for Five Thousand Dollars (\$5000.00) each,
which are numbered upon the books of said Association 13824
and 13825, respectively, said policies being payable to the
legal representative of the said Preston Brothers, deceased;
that on the 25th day of August, 1899, policy No. 13824 was
assigned by the said Preston Brothers by and with the con-
sent of the Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association to his
wife, S. Kate Brothers, and his children; that on the ____
day of _____, policy No. 13825 was
assigned by said Preston Brothers, by and with the consent
of the Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, to the American
Fertilizing Company to secure an indebtedness of Twenty-
seven Hundred and Eighty-eight and 70/100 Dollars (\$2788.70)
with together with the premiums paid by the said American
Fertilizing Company and interest on said debt now amounting
to Thirty-four Hundred and Twenty-three and 05/100 Dollars
(\$3423.05); that on April 17th, 1902, the said Mutual Re-
serve Fund Life Association was re-incorporated as and under
the name of Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company; that
upon re-incorporation the said policies with the con-
sent of the said Preston Brothers were reassigned by assigning

Hundred and Twenty-five Dollars ^{of policy} No. 15225 the sum of
Twenty-one Hundred Dollars; that after a thorough invest-
igation of the evidence and after consultation with the
attorneys he was advised and believed that it was in the
best interest of his client to accept the proposition of
the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company provided said
sum be paid promptly and provided said settlement should
be approved by this Court, that consequently your
complainant and his attorneys concluded the American
Fertilizing Company and its counsel had obtained the sum of
one hundred and twenty-five Dollars in cash and the sum of
one hundred Dollars in full settlement of the claim against
Pratt & Brothers and its full settlement of the claim
against said policy. That your complainant was advised that
by reason of the fact that in the answer given in said
Application the date of said death was erroneously stated
and in so doing as in his Application he stated that his
deceased wife died on or about the 1st day of June 1904
it was recommended that he should not file said answer
in compliance with said order but rather to file a motion.

In further consideration whereof your complainant
prays that the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company
successor to Mutual Reserve Fund Life Association, a
corporation, the American Fertilizing Company, a cor-
poration, H. Kate Brothers, an adult, and C. Kate
Brothers and John P. Brothers, all adult persons, be
advised that he was advised and believed that it was in the
best interest of his client to accept the proposition of
the Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Company provided said
sum be paid promptly and provided said settlement should
be approved by this Court, that consequently your
complainant and his attorneys concluded the American
Fertilizing Company and its counsel had obtained the sum of
one hundred and twenty-five Dollars in cash and the sum of
one hundred Dollars in full settlement of the claim against
Pratt & Brothers and its full settlement of the claim
against said policy. That your complainant was advised that
by reason of the fact that in the answer given in said
Application the date of said death was erroneously stated
and in so doing as in his Application he stated that his
deceased wife died on or about the 1st day of June 1904
it was recommended that he should not file said answer
in compliance with said order but rather to file a motion.
The sum of one hundred and twenty-five Dollars in cash and the sum of
one hundred Dollars in full settlement of the claim against
Pratt & Brothers and its full settlement of the claim
against said policy. That your complainant was advised that
by reason of the fact that in the answer given in said
Application the date of said death was erroneously stated
and in so doing as in his Application he stated that his
deceased wife died on or about the 1st day of June 1904
it was recommended that he should not file said answer
in compliance with said order but rather to file a motion.

that proper process issue, and that all other, further and
general relief be afforded your complainant by the return
of the writs as required or to equity as may seem best.

John D. Norfolk
Guardian.

STATE OF VIRGINIA,
County of Hanover.

This day personally appeared before me the under-
signed John D. Norfolk, guardian of Robert P. Brothers
and R. M. Brothers, and made oath that he believes
the several statements in the foregoing bill are true.

E. E. Howard

Notary Public.

John D. Houghton
B. - de Chaux
Maurice Reser
Maurice Joseph

1904 Jan 18th n.
Filed

J. U. BURGESS,
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,
SUFFOLK, VA.

John B Norfleet-
 vs In Chancery
 Mutual Reserve Life Insurance Ins Co.
 1904 Jan'y 16th. Process issued returnable to
 Jan'y rules third Monday
 " " " J P Lee appointed guardian
 ad litem to inst depts.
 " " 18th Process returned service
 accepted by Skate Brothens
 adult defendant, and
 " " " decree nisi against said
 defendant-
 " " " Answer of Mutual Reserve Life Ins Co.
 " " " Answer of American Felt Co.
 " Deby 1st. Decree nisi confirmed and
 cause set for hearing as to
 all defendants.

JB Norfleet Gdr
vs

Mutual Res. Fund

Chancery Notes.

E E A. & J. W. Bingham & Co.

J B Norfleet Gdr

vs. In Chancery

Mutual Reserve Life
Insurance Company

1904

March 16th

Final Decree

July 1st 1904

Costs-
G. Clerk 2.81
Tax 1.50
\$4.31
S. Clerk 1.94
Atty 15.00
\$21.25-